# **Dedicated Cryptanalysis of Lightweight Block Ciphers**

María Naya-Plasencia INRIA, France

Šibenik 2014

## Outline

#### Introduction

Impossible Differential Attacks

#### Meet-in-the-middle and improvements

#### Multiple Differential Attacks



## Outline

#### Introduction

- Dedicated attacks (examples):
  - Importance of dedicated attacks: PRINTcipher

• Importance of reduced-round attacks: KLEIN-64

Importance of Dedicated Cryptanalysis

## **Lightweight Dedicated Analysis**

Lightweight: more 'risky' design, lower security margin, simpler components.

Often innovative constructions: dedicated attacks

## **Lightweight Dedicated Analysis**

Normally, designers should have already analyzed the cipher with respect to known attacks...

...though not always!, or not always that straightforward.





**PRESENT** and **PRINT**cipher

- One of the most popular ciphers, proposed in 2007, and now ISO/IEC standard is PRESENT.
- ▶ Very large number of analysis published (over 20).

Best attacks so far: multiple linear attacks (26r/31r).

## PRESENT

#### Block n = 64 bits, key 80 or 128 bits.



31 rounds + 1 key addition.

## PRESENT

Linear cyptanalysis: because of the Sbox, a linear approximation 1 to 1 with bias  $2^{-3}$  per round[Ohk.'09].



Multiple linear attacks: consider several possible approxs simultaneously  $\Rightarrow$  up to 26 rounds out of 31 [Cho'10].



Many PRESENT-like ciphers proposed: Maya, Puffin, PRINTcipher

- Usually, weaker than the original.
- PRINTcipher[KLPR'10]: first cryptanalysis: invariant subspace attack[LAAZ'11].

## **PRINTcipher**



48rounds.

## The Invariant Subspace Attack [LAAZ'11]

#### With probability 1:



Not a key recovery, but a very bad property for  $2^{51}$  weak keys...

# KLEIN-64: from reduced-round to full-version

## KLEIN [GNL'11]



## **KLEIN**

#### **SubNibbles**



## **KLEIN**

#### RotateNibbles





## **KLEIN**

#### **MixNibbles**





## **Previous Cryptanalysis**

Version	Source	Rounds	Data	Time	Memory	Attack
	[Yu, Wu, Li, Zhang, Inscrypt11]	7	$2^{34.3}$	$2^{45.5}$	$2^{32}$	integral
KLEIN-64	[Yu, Wu, Li, Zhang, Inscrypt11]	8	$2^{32}$	$2^{46.8}$	$2^{16}$	truncated
	[Aumasson, Naya-Plasencia, Saarinen,					
	Indocrypt11]	8	$2^{35}$	$2^{35}$	-	differential
	[Nikolic, Wang, Wu,					
	ePrint iacr 2013]	10	1	$2^{62}$	$2^{60}$	mitm
	[Ahmadian, Salmasizadeh, Reza Aref					
	ePrint iacr 2013]	12	$2^{39}$	$2^{62.84}$	$2^{4.5}$	biclique

## Main Ideas From Previous Analysis

All layers except MixNibbles do not mix higher nibbles with lower nibbles.

MixColumn: inactive higher nibbles input  $\Rightarrow$  same output pattern if the MSB of the 4 LN differences are equal  $(2^{-3})$ .





## Main Ideas From Previous Analysis

KeySchedule algorithm: lower nibbles and higher nibbles are not mixed.



## **7-round attack**

► Truncated differential path of probability  $2^{-28.08} < 2^{-32}$ , 64-bit key recovered with  $2^{33}$  operations.





## **7-round attack**

1.Generate data

2.Keep the pairs with  $MN^{-1}(CTxt)$  that have higher nibbles inactive

3. Guess the lower nibbles of the key

4.Test it by checking the difference obtained when inverting MN of round 6



## **7-round attack**

► Last round condition for a random pair 2<sup>-32</sup> < 2<sup>-28.08</sup>.
⇒ a pair with HN inactive difference in last round is a conforming one.

Each conforming pair gives a 6-bit filter.

Repeating the procedure, we can recover the correct value for the LN of the key.



## New Atack [LNP'14]

#### ► Use more MixNibble steps to discard more keys.



 $\Rightarrow$  We want the difference output at the previous MN

- invert an entire LN round in values and diff.
- need only lower (key) nibbles to invert RN, SN and ARK.
- how to invert MN?

## Inverting one $MixColumn^{-1}(a, b, c, d)$

Let a = (a<sub>0</sub>, a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>, a<sub>3</sub>, a<sub>4</sub>, a<sub>5</sub>, a<sub>6</sub>, a<sub>7</sub>) be the binary decomposition of a byte.
 Given the input lower nibbles, we require 3 information bits from the higher nibbles:

$$\begin{cases} a_1 + a_2 + b_2 + c_0 + c_1 + c_2 + d_0 + d_2 \\ a_1 + b_0 + b_1 + c_1 + d_0 + d_1 \\ a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + b_0 + b_2 + c_1 + c_2 + d_2 \end{cases}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  a 6-bit guess per round



## **Inverting one round**



- ▶ Compute the LN state and check the difference shape by inverting MN (a certain probability).
   ▶ ⇒ 2<sup>6</sup> computations.
- ► In the iterative part (probability 2<sup>-6</sup>), just one guess remains.



#### 12 rounds of KLEIN-64



## Attack on KLEIN-64

▶ Generate enough data (path probability 2<sup>-69.5</sup>). Keep pairs with higher nibbles inactive before the last MN.

- For each iterative rounds:
  - LN key guess and first round to discard some.
  - Invert round by round with a 6-bit guess and check if the difference obtained before MN is as wanted: 1 guess over 2<sup>6</sup> remains.

## First rounds to discard candidates

At the end of the attack,  $2^8$  candidates remain.

Higher nibbles search discards the bad ones.

Other differential paths are possible, offering different trade-offs data/time/memory.



## **Some Improvements**

► Use structures to limit data complexity.

- Invert MN with a  $2^4$  complexity (instead of  $2^6$ ).
- Use MixColumn independence to reduce the cost of the lower nibbles key guess in the first round.
- Higher nibbles search can be speeded up using the information from the 6-bit guesses.

## **Attack Complexities on KLEIN-64**

Case	Data	Time	Memory
1	$2^{54.5}$	$2^{57}$	$2^{16}$
2	$2^{56.5}$	$2^{62}$	$2^4$
3	$2^{35}$	$2^{63.8}$	$2^{32}$
4	$2^{46}$	$2^{62}$	$2^{16}$



## **KLEIN results**

► First attack on full KLEIN-64.

Verified experimentally on reduced-round versions (first practical attack on 9 rounds).

Permits reaching 13 rounds over 16 of KLEIN-80 and 14 rounds over 20 of KLEIN-96.



# Conclusion

## To Sum $Up^1$

Classical attacks, but also new dedicated ones exploiting the originality of the designs.

Importance of reduced-round analysis to re-think security margin, or as first steps of further analysis.

► A lot of ciphers to analyze/ a lot of work to do!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Thank you to Valentin Suder, Virginie Lallemand and Christina Boura for their help with the figures