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## Importance of Dedicated Cryptanalysis

## Lightweight Dedicated Analysis

- Lightweight: more 'risky' design, lower security margin, simpler components.

Often innovative constructions: dedicated attacks

## Lightweight Dedicated Analysis

Normally, designers should have already analyzed the cipher with respect to known attacks...
...though not always!, or not always that straightforward.

- Dedicated attacks: New!
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## PRESENT and PRINTcipher

## PRESENT [BKLPPRSV’07]

- One of the most popular ciphers, proposed in 2007, and now ISO/IEC standard is PRESENT.
- Very large number of analysis published (over 20).
- Best attacks so far: multiple linear attacks (26r/31r).
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## PRESENT

Block $n=64$ bits, key 80 or 128 bits.


31 rounds +1 key addition.

## PRESENT

Linear cyptanalysis: because of the Sbox, a linear approximation 1 to 1 with bias $2^{-3}$ per round[Ohk.'09].


- Multiple linear attacks: consider several possible approxs simultaneously $\Rightarrow$ up to 26 rounds out of 31 [Cho'10].


## PRINTcipher

- Many PRESENT-like ciphers proposed: Maya, Puffin, PRINTcipher
- Usually, weaker than the original.
- PRINTcipher[KLPR'10]: first cryptanalysis: invariant subspace attack[LAAZ'11].
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## PRINTcipher



48rounds.
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## The Invariant Subspace Attack [LAAZ'11]

With probability 1 :


- Not a key recovery, but a very bad property for $2^{51}$ weak keys...


# KLEIN-64: from reduced-round to full-version 

## KLEIN [GNL'11]

- KLEIN-64 with 12 rounds.
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## KLEIN

## SubNibbles



| x | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | a | b | c | d | e | f |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{x}]$ | 7 | 4 | a | 9 | 1 | f | b | 0 | c | 3 | 2 | 6 | 8 | e | d | 5 |

## KLEIN

RotateNibbles
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## KLEIN

## MixNibbles
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## Previous Cryptanalysis

| Version | Source | Rounds | Data | Time | Memory | Attack |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KLEIN-64 | [Yu, Wu, Li, Zhang, Inscrypt11] | 7 | $2^{34.3}$ | $2^{45.5}$ | $2^{32}$ | integral |
|  | [Yu, Wu, Li, Zhang, Inscrypt11] | 8 | $2^{32}$ | $2^{46.8}$ | $2^{16}$ | truncated |
|  | [Aumasson, Naya-Plasencia, Saarinen, <br> Indocrypt11] | 8 | $2^{35}$ | $2^{35}$ | - | differential |
|  | [Nikolic, Wang, Wu, <br> ePrint iacr 2013] | 10 | 1 | $2^{62}$ | $2^{60}$ | mitm |
|  | [Ahmadian, Salmasizadeh, Reza Aref <br> ePrint iacr 2013] | 12 | $2^{39}$ | $2^{62.84}$ | $2^{4.5}$ | biclique |
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## Main Ideas From Previous Analysis

- All layers except MixNibbles do not mix higher nibbles with lower nibbles.
- MixColumn: inactive higher nibbles input $\Rightarrow$ same output pattern if the MSB of the 4 LN differences are equal $\left(2^{-3}\right)$.



## Main Ideas From Previous Analysis

- KeySchedule algorithm: lower nibbles and higher nibbles are not mixed.
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## 7-round attack

- Truncated differential path of probability $2^{-28.08}<2^{-32}$, 64 -bit key recovered with $2^{33}$ operations.



## 7-round attack

1.Generate data
2. Keep the pairs with $M N^{-1}(C T x t)$ that have higher nibbles inactive
3. Guess the lower nibbles of the key
4. Test it by checking the difference obtained when inverting MN of round 6
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## 7-round attack

- Last round condition for a random pair $2^{-32}<2^{-28.08}$. $\Rightarrow$ a pair with HN inactive difference in last round is a conforming one.
- Each conforming pair gives a 6-bit filter.
- Repeating the procedure, we can recover the correct value for the LN of the key.
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## New Atack [LNP'14]

- Use more MixNibble steps to discard more keys.

$\Rightarrow$ We want the difference output at the previous MN
- invert an entire LN round in values and diff.
- need only lower (key) nibbles to invert RN, SN and ARK.
- how to invert MN?


## Inverting one $M i x C o l u m n^{-1}(a, b, c, d)$

- Let $a=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}, a_{6}, a_{7}\right)$ be the binary decomposition of a byte.
- Given the input lower nibbles, we require 3 information bits from the higher nibbles:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{1}+a_{2}+b_{2}+c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{2}+d_{0}+d_{2} \\
a_{1}+b_{0}+b_{1}+c_{1}+d_{0}+d_{1} \\
a_{0}+a_{1}+a_{2}+b_{0}+b_{2}+c_{1}+c_{2}+d_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\Rightarrow$ a 6-bit guess per round

## Inverting one round

- Compute the LN state and check the difference shape by inverting MN (a certain probability).
- $\Rightarrow 2^{6}$ computations.
- In the iterative part (probability $2^{-6}$ ), just one guess remains.
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## Attack on KLEIN-64

- Generate enough data (path probability $2^{-69.5}$ ). Keep pairs with higher nibbles inactive before the last MN.
- For each iterative rounds:
- LN key guess and first round to discard some.
- Invert round by round with a 6-bit guess and check if the difference obtained before MN is as wanted: 1 guess over $2^{6}$ remains.


## First rounds to discard candidates

- At the end of the attack, $2^{8}$ candidates remain.
- Higher nibbles search discards the bad ones.
- Other differential paths are possible, offering different trade-offs data/time/memory.


## Some Improvements

- Use structures to limit data complexity.
- Invert MN with a $2^{4}$ complexity (instead of $2^{6}$ ).
- Use MixColumn independence to reduce the cost of the lower nibbles key guess in the first round.
- Higher nibbles search can be speeded up using the information from the 6 -bit guesses.
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## Attack Complexities on KLEIN-64

| Case | Data | Time | Memory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $2^{54.5}$ | $2^{57}$ | $2^{16}$ |
| 2 | $2^{56.5}$ | $2^{62}$ | $2^{4}$ |
| 3 | $2^{35}$ | $2^{63.8}$ | $2^{32}$ |
| 4 | $2^{46}$ | $2^{62}$ | $2^{16}$ |
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## KLEIN results

- First attack on full KLEIN-64.
- Verified experimentally on reduced-round versions (first practical attack on 9 rounds).
- Permits reaching 13 rounds over 16 of KLEIN-80 and 14 rounds over 20 of KLEIN-96.
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## Conclusion

## To Sum Up ${ }^{1}$

- Classical attacks, but also new dedicated ones exploiting the originality of the designs.
- Importance of reduced-round analysis to re-think security margin, or as first steps of further analysis.
- A lot of ciphers to analyze/ a lot of work to do!
${ }^{1}$ Thank you to Valentin Suder, Virginie Lallemand and Christina Boura for their help with the figures

